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AN AFFECTED PERSON’S PERSPECTIVE
Most of us take our citizenship for granted. From birth we belong to one, or in some cases, two states. This belonging represents a significant part of our identity and enables us to maintain our daily life under the protection of the state. But, imagine if one morning, you suddenly woke up stateless.

It has been seven years since I was stripped of my Bahraini nationality and officially became stateless. I was one of the first victims of Bahrain’s revocation of citizenship programme, but I am not the only one. Since 2012, one year after the pro-democracy uprising began, the Bahraini government has used citizenship as a weapon for political punishment. On 7 November 2012, the Ministry of Interior revoked the nationality of 31 citizens without any justification being given. This included me and my brother, Jalal Fairooz, also a former member of parliament. In 2015, another 208 citizens were denaturalised and in 2018, a further 298. In total, around 990 Bahraini nationals have had their citizenship revoked in the past eight years, which amounts to 0.15% of the population. If the same percentage had their citizenship revoked in the United States, this would amount to nearly half a million people.

**REASONS FOR REVOCATION**

The justification the Bahraini authorities use to carry this out is nearly always the same - to protect the country and its citizens from extremists and terrorists. The legal basis which allows for this practice dates back to August 2006, when Bahrain adopted an anti-terrorism law. The government’s powers were expanded further in 2006 with the adoption of Law No.58, which provides the legal basis for revocation of citizenship. The law states that individuals who are considered to be a threat to national security may have their citizenship revoked. This includes individuals who are accused of supporting terrorism or engaging in activities that are deemed to be a threat to the state.
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2013 when deprivation of nationality was included as an additional penalty for those who commit so called “terrorist crimes”.

But how can we define terrorist crimes? Bahrain’s Penal Code\(^3\) already has a number of articles that detail terrorism-related crimes, including articles 112-129. However, the terrorist acts listed in the new 2006 law are extremely broad, extending to non-violent acts such as “disrupting the public order”, “threatening the Kingdom’s safety and security”, and “damaging national unity”. However, rather than fighting ‘terrorism’, the law has instead been used to target activists, politicians, clerics and any peaceful dissidents.

Such broad definitions are not compatible with international human rights law, neither is the act of making a person stateless, with Article 15 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) stating that “Everyone has the right to a nationality” and “No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his nationality.”\(^4\) Yet in Bahrain, hundreds of individuals have been, and continue to be, arbitrarily deprived of their nationality.

**CONSEQUENCES OF REVOCATION**

Citizenship is the most basic and fundamental right of every individual. It is a ‘right of rights’: a right which unlocks other rights, the absence of which erodes the enjoyment of all rights and protection. Losing one’s nationality can thus be described as a form of social death.

Once someone is stripped of their citizenship, they are stripped of a whole host of human rights. Denaturalisation often follows and is followed by arrest, interrogation and detention causing multiple rights violations. The practice also leaves individuals unable to access many basic services, from healthcare and education to housing and finance.

This social death means total exclusion from public life and can often result in poverty and mental illness. It does not just affect the individual, but the entire family, and it will not just affect the present generation, but future generations too as the new-born children of those who have had their nationality revoked are also at risk of statelessness and its associated negative impacts. The possible long-term effects of this are hard to fathom.
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Is security really being achieved by this inhuman and unlawful act? By targeting civil society, the pillars of a healthy society are eroded, paving the way for further insecurity. By revoking the citizenships of peaceful dissidents under the 2006 law, the Bahraini government is equating human rights defenders with violent terrorists. By excluding individuals in this way and denying them countless human rights, the seeds of a deep mistrust are sown.

WHAT WENT WRONG?

The Bahraini government is the prime actor responsible for this practice. Intending to completely crack-down on dissent, the government has used the banner of ‘terrorism’ to mask this goal, and the revocation of citizenship as the latest tool to carry out its repression. The practice should be seen as one of a number of methods used to spread fear and punish dissent, akin to the death penalty and the use of torture.

But, more surprisingly, how has the international community allowed it to foster? The problem is that certain bodies have been slow to act and recognise the scope of the problem. In 2014, the UN High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) launched a campaign “#IBelong”, in a bid to end statelessness. While this was welcome, the campaign failed to include those who have become stateless through citizenship revocation, like the hundreds of Bahrainis. NGOs have also been slow to address the issue. Aside from thematic organisations, like the Institute on Statelessness and Inclusion (ISI) and the European Network on Statelessness (ENS), other international organisations have been playing catch up. A conference on citizenship revocation held at Amnesty International in 2016 and the ISI World Conference on Statelessness and Inclusion in 2019 were both an exception to this and led to transnational action in the Gulf region, such as the creation of an All-Party Parliamentary Group (APPG) on human rights in the Gulf.

There are signs that the international community is waking up. When the Bahraini government revoked the nationality of 138 people in a mass trial in April 2019, there was a huge backlash. Soon thereafter, Bahrain announced that 551 individuals would have their nationality reinstated. This marks a point from which further change can emerge, however the most prominent activists and politicians have not been included in this decision. This is nowhere near sufficient for full remedy, justice and reparation to be attained.
**HOW TO END THE PRACTICE?**

Ending the inhuman and unlawful practice of arbitrary deprivation of citizenship can and must be a straightforward goal. Continuous international pressure on the Bahraini government is needed. The recent reinstatement of citizenship shows that international pressure can be effective, but this pressure must be sustained and increased in order to secure long-term change, including ending the practice, reinstating all citizenships revoked for politically motivated purposes and scrapping the 2006 anti-terrorism law.

In order to achieve this, further work also needs to be done on a thematic basis around the issue of statelessness and citizenship revocation including for example the establishment of specific UN guidelines. Increased cooperation between international bodies, including those at the UN level, is also crucial in order to effectively combat this practice both in Bahrain and internationally.

If you lose your citizenship, as in my case, you will immediately lose your job, will be unable to access public services, will face restrictions on travel, and will be unable to vote. Your identity papers become invalid meaning that you are basically an invisible person in the eyes of the law. You no longer feel personal security and may be considered an illegal immigrant and treated like a criminal in your own country. You are more likely to be forced to leave the country where you were born, raised and lived for your whole life, leaving your beloved ones behind at home.

Citizenry is above the government, not vice versa, and citizenship revocation powers only serve to enhance the discretionary and arbitrary power of the executive authority. The possession of citizenship should not be understood as a privilege or rewarded for allegiance and its revocation should not be wielded as a weapon of control and oppression.